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1 Introduction

1.1 Itô and Stratonovich SDEs

1-dimensional stochastic differentiable equation (SDE) is given by [6, 7]

\[
dX_t = f(X_t, t)dt + g(X_t, t)dW_t
\]

where \( X_t = X(t) \) is the realization of a stochastic process or random variable. \( f(X_t, t) \) is called the drift coefficient, that is the deterministic part of the SDE characterizing the local trend. \( g(X_t, t) \) denotes the diffusion coefficient, that is the stochastic part which influences the average size of the fluctuations of \( X \). The fluctuations themselves originate from the stochastic process \( W_t \) called Wiener process and introduced in Section 1.2. Interpreted as an integral, one gets

\[
X_t = X_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(X_s, s)ds + \int_{t_0}^{t} g(X_s, s)dW_s
\]

where the first integral is an ordinary Riemann integral. As the sample paths of a Wiener process are not differentiable, the Japanese mathematician K. Itô defined in 1940s a new type of integral called Itô stochastic integral. In 1960s, the Russian physicist R. L. Stratonovich proposed another kind of stochastic integral called Stratonovich stochastic integral and used the symbol \( \circ \) to distinguish it from the former Itô integral. (3) and (4) are the Stratonovich equivalents of (1) and (2) [1, 6].

\[
\frac{dX_t}{dt} = f(X_t, t)dt + g(X_t, t) \circ dW_t
\]

\[
X_t = X_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(X_s, s)ds + \int_{t_0}^{t} g(X_s, s) \circ dW_s
\]

The second integral in (2) and (4) can be written in a general form as [8]

\[
\int_{t_0}^{t} g(X_s, s)dW_s = \lim_{h \to 0} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} g(X_{\tau_k}, \tau_k)(W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))
\]
where $h = (t_{k+1} - t_k)$ with intermediary points $\tau_k = (1 - \lambda)t_k - \lambda t_{k+1}$, $\forall k \in \{0, 1, ..., m - 1\}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In the stochastic integral of the Itô SDE given in (2), $\lambda = 0$ leads to $\tau_k = t_k$ and hence to evaluate the stochastic integral at the left-point of the intervals. In the definition of the Stratonovich integral, $\lambda = 1/2$ and so $\tau_k = (t_{k+1} - t_k)/2$, what fixes the evaluations of the second integral in (4) at the mid-point of each intervals [8].

To illustrate the difference between the Itô and Stratonovich calculi, lets have a closer look at the stochastic integral

$$\int_{t_0}^{T} W(s) dW_s = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} W(\tau_k)(W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))$$

(6)

$$= \frac{W(t)}{2} + (\lambda - \frac{1}{2})T$$

(7)

By combining the result of (7) with the respective values of $\lambda$ discussed above for both interpretations, we obtain [8]

$$\int_{t_0}^{T} W(s) dW_s = \frac{1}{2}W(t) - \frac{1}{2}T$$

(8)

$$\int_{t_0}^{T} W(s) \circ dW_s = \frac{1}{2}W(t)$$

(9)

If we solve (2) and (4) whose the stochastic integrals (8) and (9) are respectively part of, we see that the Itô and Stratonovich representations do not converge towards the same solution. Conversions from Itô to Stratonovich calculus and inversely are possible in order to switch between the two different calculi. This is achieved by adding a correction term to the drift coefficients [1].

$$dX_t = f(X_t)dt + g(X_t)dW_t$$

(10)

$$dX_t = \int f_t dX_t + g(X_t) \circ dW_t$$

(11)

$$f = f - \frac{1}{2}g'g$$

(12)

where $g' = \frac{dg(X_t)}{dX_t}$ is the first derivative of $g$. If the relation (12) is used (called the Itô-Stratonovich drift correction formula), the integration of the Stratonovich SDE (11) leads now to the same result as the integration of the Itô SDE (10) [1].

Both integrals have their advantages and disadvantages and which one should be used is more a modelling than mathematical issue. In financial mathematics, the Itô interpretation is usually used since Itô calculus only takes into account information about the past. The Stratonovich interpretation is the most frequently used within the physical sciences [6]. An excellent discussion of this subject can be found in [10], in particular see Chapter IX, Section 5: The Itô-Stratonovich dilemma.
1.2 Standard Wiener process

A scalar standard Brownian motion, or standard Wiener process, over $[t_0, T]$ is a random variable $W(t)$ that depends continuously on $t \in [t_0, T]$. For $t_0 \leq s < t \leq T$, the random variable given by the increment $W(t) - W(s)$ is normally distributed with mean $\mu = 0$ and variance $\sigma^2 = t - s$. Equivalently, $W(t) - W(s) \sim \sqrt{t - s} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ with $W(t_0) = 0$ [4]. The conditions for the stochastic process $W(t)$ to be a Wiener process are [6]

1. $[W(t), t \geq 0]$ has stationary independent increments $dW$
2. $W(t)$ is normally distributed for $t \geq 0$
3. $\langle W(t) \rangle = 0$ for $t \geq 0$
4. $W(0) = 0$

1.3 Discretized Brownian motion

Let’s take $t_0 = 0$ and divide the interval $[0, T]$ into $N$ steps such as: $h = T/N$. Let’s also denote $W_j = W(t_j)$ with $t_j = jh$ [4].

$$W_j = W_{j-1} + dW_j \quad W_0 = 0 \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N \quad (13)$$

where each $dW_j$ is an independent random variable of the form $\sqrt{h} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

The figure below displays the realizations of three independent Wiener processes.

Figure 1: Three discretized, 1-dimensional Brownian paths with $T = 1$ and $N = 500$. It is worth noting that when $t \to \infty$, the process has an infinite variance but still an expectation equal to zero.

\[\mathcal{N}(0, h) = \sqrt{h} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)\]
2 Numerical integration

2.1 Iterative methods

It is difficult to deal with the SDEs analytically because of the highly non-differentiable character of the realization of the Wiener process. There are different, iterative methods that can be used to integrate SDE systems. The most widely-used ones are introduced in the following sections.

- **Explicit order 0.5 strong Taylor scheme**
  - Euler-Maruyama (EM) and Euler-Heun (EH)

- **Explicit order 1.0 strong Taylor scheme**
  - Milstein and derivative-free Milstein (Runge-Kutta approach)

- **Explicit order 1.5 strong Taylor scheme**
  - Stochastic Runge-Kutta (SRK)

2.2 Explicit order 0.5 strong Taylor scheme

2.2.1 Euler-Maruyama method

The simplest stochastic numerical approximation is the Euler-Maruyama method and it requires the problem to be described using the Itô scheme. For Stratonovich interpretation, one can use the Euler-Heun method, see Section 2.2.2.

This approximation is a continuous time stochastic process that satisfy the iterative scheme [9]

\[
Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f(Y_n)h_n + g(Y_n)\Delta W_n \quad Y_0 = x_0 \quad n = 0, 1, ..., N - 1
\]

\[
\Delta W_n = [W_{t+h} - W_t] \sim \sqrt{h}N(0,1)
\]

where \(Y_n = Y(t_n), h_n = t_{n+1} - t_n\) is the step size, \(\Delta W_n = W(t_{n+1}) - W(t_n) \sim N(0, h_n)\) with \(W(t_0) = 0\). From now on, the following notation is used: \(h = h_n\) (fixed step size), \(f_n = f(Y_n)\) and \(g_n = g(Y_n)\). (14) becomes

\[
Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f_n h + g_n \Delta W_n
\]

As the order of convergence for the Euler-Maruyama method is low (strong order of convergence 0.5, weak order of convergence 1), the numerical results are inaccurate unless a small step size is used. In fact, Euler-Maruyama represents the order 0.5 strong Taylor scheme. By adding one more term from the stochastic Taylor expansion, one obtains a 1.0 strong order of convergence scheme known as Milstein scheme [9].

2.2.2 Euler-Heun method

If a problem is described using the Stratonovich scheme, then the Euler-Heun method has to be used instead of the Euler-Maruyama method that is only valid for Itô SDEs [3, 6].
\[ Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f_n h + \frac{1}{2} \left[ g_n + g(Y_n) \right] \Delta W_n \]  
(17)

\[ \bar{Y}_n = Y_n + g_n \Delta W_n \]  
(18)

\[ \Delta W_n = [W_{t+h} - W_t] \sim \sqrt{h} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \]  
(19)

2.3 Explicit order 1.0 strong Taylor scheme

2.3.1 Milstein method

The Milstein scheme is slightly different whether it is the Itô or Stratonovich representation that is used [3, 6, 7]. It can be proved that Milstein scheme converges strongly with order 1 (and weakly with order 1) to the solution of the SDE. The Milstein scheme represents the order 1.0 strong Taylor scheme.

\[ Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f_n h + g_n \Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2} g_n g_n' \left[ (\Delta W_n)^2 - h \right] \]  
(20)

\[ Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f_n h + g_n \Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2} g_n g_n' (\Delta W_n)^2 \]  
(21)

\[ \Delta W_n = [W_{t+h} - W_t] \sim \sqrt{h} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \]  
(22)

where \( g_n' = \frac{dg(Y_n)}{dY_n} \) is the first derivative of \( g_n \). The iterative method defined by (20) must be used with Itô SDEs whether (21) has to be applied to Stratonovich SDEs. Note that when additive noise is used, i.e. when \( g_n \) is constant and not anymore a function of \( Y_n \), then both Itô and Stratonovich interpretations are equivalent (\( g_n' = 0 \)).

2.3.2 Derivative-free Milstein method

The drawback of the previous method is that it requires the analytic specification of the first derivative of \( g(Y_n) \), analytic expression that can become quickly highly complexe. The following implementation approximates this derivative thanks to a Runge-Kutta approach [6].

\[ Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f_n h + g_n \Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{h}} \left[ g(\bar{Y}_n) - g_n \right] \left[ (\Delta W_n)^2 - h \right] \]  
(23)

\[ Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f_n h + g_n \Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{h}} \left[ g(\bar{Y}_n) - g_n \right] (\Delta W_n)^2 \]  
(24)

\[ \bar{Y}_n = Y_n + f_n h + g_n \sqrt{h} \]  
(25)

\[ \Delta W_n = [W_{t+h} - W_t] \sim \sqrt{h} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \]  
(26)

where (23) and (24) must be applied respectively to Itô and Stratonovich SDEs.

2.4 Explicit order 1.5 strong Taylor scheme

2.4.1 Definition

By adding more terms from a stochastic Taylor expansion than in Milstein scheme, higher strong orders can be obtained. A method to generate a strong
order 1.5 method is introduced by Burrage & Platen [2, 5]. For the need of this method, a random variable \( \Delta Z_n \) is introduced.

\[
\Delta Z_n = \int_{\tau_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} \int_{\tau_n}^{\tau_s} dW_s ds_2
\]  

(27)

which is a Gaussian distributed with mean zero, variance \( \frac{1}{3} h^3 \) and correlation \( E(\Delta W_n \Delta Z_n) = \frac{1}{2} h^2 \) [2, 5].

### 2.4.2 Stochastic Runge-Kutta

This implementation allows to achieve a 1.5 strong order of converge. This is the highest strong order obtained with a Runge-Kutta approach that keeps a “simple” structure. This implementation makes use of the \( \Delta Z_n \) introduced in (27) [2, 5].

\[
\Delta Y_{n+1} = Y_n + f_n h + g_n \Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2} g_n g_n' [(\Delta W_n)^2 - h] 
\]

(28)

\[
+ f_n g_n \Delta Z_n + \frac{1}{2} \left[ f_n g_n' + \frac{1}{2} g_n^2 (f_n')^2 \right] h^2
\]

(29)

\[
+ \left[ f_n g_n' + \frac{1}{2} g_n^2 (g_n')^2 \right] [\Delta W_n h - \Delta Z_n]
\]

(30)

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} g_n \left[ g_n g_n' + (g_n')^2 \right] \left[ \frac{1}{3} (\Delta W_n)^2 - h \right] \Delta W_n
\]

(31)

### 3 Convergence

An approximation \( Y \) converges with strong order \( \gamma > 0 \) if there exists a constant \( K \) such that [2]

\[
E(|X_T - Y_N|) \leq K \cdot h^{\gamma}
\]

(32)

for step sizes \( h \in (0, 1) \), with \( X_T \) being the true solution at time \( T \) and \( Y_N \) the approximation. The symbol \( E \) stands for expectation. It appears that Euler-Maruyama scheme converges only with strong order \( \gamma = 0.5 \). Strong approximation is tightly linked to the use of the original increments of the Wiener process [2]. However in several applications, it is not needed to simulate a pathwise approximation of a Wiener process. For instance, one could be only interested in the moments of the solution of a SDE. A discrete time approximation \( Y \) converges with weak order \( \beta > 0 \) if for any polynomial \( g(\cdot) \) there exists a constant \( K_g \) such that

\[
|E(g(X_T)) - E(g(Y_N))| \leq K_g \cdot h^\beta
\]

(33)

for step sizes \( h \in (0, 1) \). It turns out that Euler-Maruyama scheme converges with weak order \( \beta = 1.0 \) [2].
If a numerical method is convergent with order $\gamma$ and the step size is made $k$ times smaller, then the approximation error decreases by a factor $k^\gamma$. For instance, if the order equals 1 and we want to decrease the error 100 times, we have to make the step size 100 times smaller. If the order equals 0.5 and we still want to decrease the error 100 times, we have to make the step size $100^2 = 10000$ times smaller.

4 Implementations

- **libSDE (included in GeneNetWeaver 2.0)**
  Thomas Schaffter
  Java, Itô and Stratonovich, Euler-Maruyama, Euler-Heun, derivative-free Milstein, SRK15.
  http://tschaffter.ch/apps/lbsde/

- **SDE Toolbox**
  Umberto Picchini [9]
  Matlab, Itô and Stratonovich, Euler-Maruyama and Milstein.
  http://sdetoolbox.sourceforge.net

- **SDELab**
  Hagen Gilsing, Tony Shardlow [3]
  C and Matlab, Itô and Stratonovich, Euler-Maruyama, Euler-Heun, Milstein.
  http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~sdelab/
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